UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/588,008	06/06/2000	Sam Yang	M4065.0210/P210	9015
24998 DICK STFIN S	7590 03/21/2007 SHAPIRO LLP		EXAMINER	
1825 EYE STI	REET NW	TRINH, HOA B		
Washington, D	C 20006-5403		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/588,008	YANG ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Vikki H. Trinh	2814

	Vikki H. Trinh	2814	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence ado	iress
THE REPLY FILED 19 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITIO	N FOR ALLOWANCE.	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice wing replies: (1) an amendment tice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	e of Appeal. To avoid aba , affidavit, or other evider in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of example 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set frater than SIX MONTHS from the mark (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN 06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFF tension and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply record than three months after the mailing	ailing date of the final rejecting THE FIRST REPLY WAS F THE 1.136(a) and the appropriation of the fee. The appropriation of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Office.	ion. FILED WITHIN ate extension fee riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	nsideration and/or search (see w); tter form for appeal by materiall corresponding number of finally	NOTE below); y reducing or simplifying	*
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 	21. See attached Notice of Non : Illowable if submitted in a separa will not be entered, or b)	ate, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-31 and 99. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 3. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affi	idavit or other evidence is	s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ap y and was not earlier presented	opeal and/or appellant fa . See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 	rt does NOT place the application	on in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	HOWARD V PRIMARY EX	VEISS AMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' present invention claims have not yet placed the application in conditions for allowance. Note that the present invention claims direct to a device which means any process step in a claim is pertained to an intermediate step that does not affect the final structure of the device. See MPEP 2113. Thus, the examiner has considered the first annealing process and the second annealing process but the annealing processes are considered intermediate process steps that do not affect the final structure of the device. (Note that lizuka discloses a first annealing process and a second annealing process) In the previous Advisory Action, the examiner stated as follows: in the remarks, applicants argued that lizuka does not teach the claimed invention. As stated in the previous Office Action, lizuka teaches in its entirety a system having a capacitor and other elements that read on the limitations of the present invention's claims. Furthermore, Emesh cures the deficiency in lizuka because they are in the same field of endeavors. Similarity, Alers and Narwankar cure additional deficiencies in lizuka's teaching. Thus, the combined teachings of all of the cited references enable an artisan in the art at the time of the invention to make and use the device